Lecture #18

MA 511, Introduction to Analysis

June 22, 2021

MA 511, Introduction to Analysis

Lecture #18 1 / 6

Definition (Upper and Lower Riemann Sums)

Let P be a partition of [a, b], $m_k = \inf \{f(x) : x \in [x_k, x_{k+1}]\}$ and $M_k = \sup \{f(x) : x \in [x_k, x_{k+1}]\}$ for $0 \le k \le n-1$. The upper and lower Riemann sums of f with respect to P are defined as follows:

$$U(f, P) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} M_k(x_{k+1} - x_k)$$
$$L(f, P) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} m_k(x_{k+1} - x_k)$$

Definition (Refinement of a Partition)

A partition Q is a refinement of a partition P if $P \subseteq Q$

• For the following, we assume f is bounded so that the sums exist

Lemma

 $L(f, P) \leq U(f, P)$ for all f and P

Lemma

If Q is a refinement of P, then $L(f, P) \leq L(f, Q)$ and $U(f, P) \geq L(f, Q)$

Lemma

If P_1 and P_2 are partitions, then $L(f, P_1) \leq U(f, P_2)$

- The behavior is intuitively what we expect
- These results give us the behavior we want to eventually define integration as some sort of limiting process

Definition

Let \mathcal{P} be the set of all partitions of [a, b]. We define the upper and lower Riemann integrals of f over [a, b] to be

$$U(f) = \inf \{ U(f, P) : P \in \mathcal{P} \}$$

$$L(f) = \sup \{ L(f, P) : P \in \mathcal{P} \}$$

Lemma

If f is bounded, then $L(f) \leq U(f)$

- It is difficult to imagine or work with \mathcal{P} , even though it is the most natural way to define U and L
- If f is not bounded, then we have terms that must be infinite in the definitions of L(f, P), U(f, P), or both. So, our definitions won't work

Riemann Integration

Definition (Riemann Integrability)

f is Riemann integrable on [a, b] if U(f) = L(f). We define $\int_a^b f(x) dx$ to be this value

- This definition makes intuitive sense, but we still have the issue of computing it
- What properties of f are necessary for integrability? What are sufficient?

Theorem (Integrability Criterion)

A bounded function f is integrable on [a, b] if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a partition P_{ε} such that

$$U(f,P_{\varepsilon})-L(U,P_{\varepsilon})<\varepsilon$$

- U(f, P) − L(f, P) = ∑_{k=0}ⁿ⁻¹(M_k − m_k)∆x_k so the integrability of f is related to its change over given intervals
- This sounds like uniform continuity

Theorem

If f is continuous on [a, b], then it is integrable

Theorem

If f is bounded on [a, b] and integrable on [c, b] for all $c \in (a, b)$, then f is integrable on [a, b]. A similar result holds for subintervals [a, c]